

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/815,455	03	3/31/2004	Alexander Rzesnitzek	BE-125	6407		
7590 11/23/2005				EXAM	EXAMINER		
Friedrich Kueffner				ASTORINO, MICHAEL C			
Suite 910					21000 140 (000		
317 Madison Avenue				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY 10017				3736			

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sim$	
10	
Yr	
<i>u</i> 1	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/815,455	RZESNITZEK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Astorino	3736		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
•	Michael C. Astorino	3736						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
		•						
<ul> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a)</li></ul>								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);						
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	,, ,		i the issues for					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.						
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> </ul>	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co ):	·						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_					
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro         The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:         Claim(s) allowed:</li></ul>		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			ince because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the applicant has materially changed the claims and as such requires a further search..

MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700